



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,544	12/21/2001	Norman Ken Ouchi	Solectron 713 7287	
7590 06/17/2004		EXAMINER BLACK, LINH		
Robert Moll: 1173 St. Charles Court				
Los Altos, CA 94024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2177	^
	e police		DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	, 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/037,544	OUCHI, NORMAN KEN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LINH BLACK	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2001					
,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		·				
Application Papers						
 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. 						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the one of the correction and the correction are the corrections.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-192)				

Application/Control Number: 10/037,544

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it exceeds 150 words in length. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

 The use of the trademarks "ORACLE", "UNIX", "LINUS" etc... have been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent

Application/Control Number: 10/037,544

Art Unit: 2177

their use in any manner, which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Applicants are required to check the specification for trademarks' correction.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roth (USP 5857205), and further in view of LaRue et al. (USP 6487560).
- 3. As per claim 1, Roth teaches:

a database including a previous document table with data of the document, an internal document table with validated data of the document – col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 27.

col. 3, lines 7-20; col. 4, lines 10-27; the abstract; col. 13, lines 36-52.

compare data of the document with data of the previous table – col. 4, lines 10-27; col.

7, lines 54-62; col. 11, lines 23-30; col. 13, lines 36-52.

define a change based on the compare – col. 5, lines 54-67; col. 6, lines 35-43; col. 10, lines 31-46; col. 11, lines 23-30.

However, Roth does not explicitly suggest replace the data of the previous document table with the element of the document. LaRue teaches system and methods for robust synchronization of datasets – the title. LaRue teaches synchronization of data records

Application/Control Number: 10/037,544

Art Unit: 2177

after changes are detected and validate the data and replace the data of internal document table – col. 4, line 54 to col. 5, line 55; figs. 7a-c; col. 23, lines 33-67. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to synchronize records after changes are detected in which new versions or new/modified records of data are shown in the system.

- 4. As per claim 2, Roth teaches document/record/file indicators col. 10, lines 31-46; col. 6, lines 44-65; compares the new data item list to the previous data item list col. 8, lines 54-62.
- 5. As per claim 3, Roth teaches the database includes a table with changed data col. 3, lines 61-66; fig. 4b-c; col. 13, lines 60-64.
- 6. As per claim 4, Roth teaches records are stored in a standardized format col. 8, lines 40-62.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 5 is allowed.

Page 4

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/037,544

Art Unit: 2177

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINH BLACK whose telephone number is 703-305-0317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306, for Before Final communications: 703-746-7239, and for After Final communications: 703-746-7238.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-7240.

LINH BLACK Patent Examiner

inh Black

Art Unit 2177